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ABSTRACT

Well-respected experts of higher education, including those at the National Survey of Student Engagement (NSSE) and founding director Dr. Alexander Astin of the Higher Education Research Institute at UCLA, have demonstrated strong correlations between the amount of time and effort students put into “educationally purposeful activities” outside the classroom (e.g., student organizations) and positive outcomes of student success, such as satisfaction, persistence, and academic achievement (Astin, 1984, 1993, 1999; Berger and Milem, 1993; Pascarella and Terenzini, 2005). This study evaluates student involvement in on-campus student organizations. What characteristics are most highly correlated with participation? Based on the results of previous studies, we hypothesized that student engagement in on-campus student organizations is positively correlated with proximity to campus and that the closer a student lives to campus, the more apt they are to be involved with on-campus student organizations. Not only will students living closer to campus be more active with on-campus student organizations, but they are also more likely to be involved with on-campus student organizations directly related to their major. We also hypothesized that female students are more apt to be involved in on-campus student organizations related to their major than males. Original survey results and future research ideas are discussed.
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Introduction

Participation in on-campus student organization activities provides college students with important opportunities to apply knowledge from the classroom to the “real world” and develop practical living skills needed after graduation. This study evaluates student engagement in on-campus student organizations and seeks to determine student satisfaction, better understand why students participate in these activities and determine what characteristics are most highly correlated with participation.

Purpose/Research Questions

The purpose of this study was to explore how proximity to campus correlates with on-campus student organization involvement in general and related to your major. We also wanted to determine if gender plays a role in on-campus student organization involvement within organizations related to the student’s major.

Our hypotheses were as follows:

Hypothesis 1 (H1): There will be a positive effect of living closer to campus about on-campus student organization involvement.

Hypothesis 2 (H2): There will be a positive effect of living closer to campus about on-campus student organization involvement directly related to your major.

Hypothesis 3 (H3): There will be a higher rate of participation by females over males in on-campus student organizations related to the student’s major.
Literature Review

Student Engagement

Mayers et al. (2017) defined student engagement as the relationship between the university and student that includes academic and non-academic facets of the student experience on campus. The 2015 National Survey of Student Engagement (NSSE) found that 61% of students at the campus studied felt that they were provided opportunities to be socially involved on campus. Of that same group, 75% chose to attend campus events and/or activities.

Student engagement is very important early on as it increases social integration into campus life. Students that are more socially integrated have higher levels of campus involvement will also have higher success rates academically (Simpson and Burnett, 2017; Mayers et al., 2017). Student engagement and campus involvement also provide students with development opportunities. Those that are more engaged have been shown to have an increased level of first-year success and higher retention rates. It was found that campus involvement had a positive effect on first-year students. (Mayers et al., 2017).

In national surveys, there is clear evidence that student engagement is positively correlated to academic and interpersonal gains (Zilvinskis et al., 2017, p. 895). Boatman and Long (2016) argue, “Student engagement is comprised of three areas of integration: academic integration, social integration, and institutional commitment” (p. 657). Our research is focused on the social integration within on-campus student organizations as it relates to student engagement, specifically through proximity to campus, networking, and personal relationship skills.

Proximity to Campus

According to the Association of American Colleges and Universities (2018), 13% of first-year college students live on campus. When we look at the state we studied, according to the 2010 census, 50,444 were living in off-campus housing with 54% being female. With roughly 260,000 students enrolled, that is around 19.4% living on campus. More than 1,200 students live on campus at the university we researched, which is approximately 25% of the campus population.

Researchers stated that the population of students living off-campus is on the rise. On average, 25% of full-time undergraduates (all years) live on campus (Nelson et al., 2016). It has been found that students living on campus have more time to dedicate to studies and campus activities. Living on campus also invites interaction and engagement. Students that live on campus are more engaged and active within student clubs and organizations. Nelson et al. (2016) stated, there is a lower level of engagement for students that work off-campus and live off-campus as they have fewer opportunities for integration into campus life.

Simpson and Burnett (2017) explained that students living on campus have increased access to campus activities and are therefore more engaged than their peers living off-campus. Off-campus students usually have additional responsibilities and have to find a balance between campus engagement and other obligations such as work, family, transportation, etc. Living on campus in a residence hall also provides additional opportunities to interact with peers and become more involved with campus life. Off campus, students are less likely to be provided these opportunities (Simpson and Burnett, 2017).

College Involvement Related to Gender

Students who are involved in campus life are more academically, socially, and emotionally engaged. Prior research has pointed to the necessity of building relationships within the college experience as an essential component of persistence and retention or staying in college (Astin et al., 1999; Choate and Smith, 2003; Duggan and William, 2011; Kimbark, et al., 2016; O’Gara et al., 2009).

In general, women tend to value positive social interactions, such as value relationship building more than men (Conner and Davis, 2016) and desiring a sense of community (Roldan et al., 2018). Ro and Kim (2019) found that college women self-report “higher than men regarding communication, teamwork, and leadership skills” (p. 58). Diniz et al. (2018) found that there were no differences in social interaction between men and women (p. 10). This was contrary to previous studies about college women engaging in positive social interactions more frequently than men (Gibson and Lawrence, 2010; Sax et al., 2005).

College Involvement Related to College Major

A study by Graunke and Woosley (2005) showed that students who interacted with campus organizations are positively correlated to grade point average (GPA) and a major commitment.

Research on career commitment and college completion rates indicates that student fit with their major is an indication of student success. A study by Womack et al. (2018), suggests “engagement in activities for one’s major covaried with increased feelings of commitment to one’s major” and students who perceive a fit with their major had higher GPAs and persistence toward graduation.

Methodology

Data collection and analysis

A two-part data collection process was used. First, an on-campus data management system that provided “active users” data for academic years 2014-2015, 2015-2016, and 2016-2017 were used. Second, in cooperation with the Information Technology Services and University Administration, an original twenty-question survey was created using Qualtrics software. The survey was distributed via university email addresses for all students that met the criteria for the search population. The survey was available for three weeks.

A total of 306 students initiated the questionnaire, with 287 fully completing the survey instrument. Of the 287 students surveyed, 98 were male and 189 were female. This sample included 80 freshmen, 72 sophomores, 92 juniors, 42
seniors, and 10 others. The sample size of 287 was approximately 9% of the campus population.

Participants consisted of full-time students enrolled at a 4-year public university located in the Upper Midwest portion of the United States. Participants were all 18 years of age or older and were enrolled in the university at the time of data collection.

### Participant demographics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Hours Worked Weekly</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>0 hours</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1-10 hours</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing</td>
<td></td>
<td>11-20 hours</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On Campus Residential Halls</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>21-30 hours</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Off Campus/Commuter</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>31-40 hours</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Off Campus Walking-Distance</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>Over 40 hours</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class Standing</td>
<td></td>
<td>24/7 Resident Advisor</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freshman</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>On-campus</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sophomore</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Off-campus</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junior</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative GPA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Above 4.0</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.6-4.0</td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1-3.5</td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.6-3.0</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1-2.5</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower than 2.1</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Discussion/Results

Chi-Square test was used to determine the association among college students living close to campus and on-campus student organization involvement (either related to the major or any organization). The Chi-Square test was used to determine the association between gender and student involvement in organizations related to the student’s major.

A Cross-Tabulation and Chi-Square Test suggests a correlation between living distance from campus and involvement in on-campus student organizations, where the closer one lives to campus, the more likely they were to be involved in campus activities. With a p-value of 0.007409 and 9.81 degrees of freedom, the result is significant at p<0.01.

**Hypothesis 1 (H1):** There will be a positive effect of living closer to campus in relation to on-campus student organization involvement.

**Chi-Square Test via Cross tabulation 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>How close to BSU did you live last year?</th>
<th>Were you involved in any BSU student organizations last year?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Were you involved in any BSU student organizations last year?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chi Square</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9.81</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Chi-Square Test via Cross tabulation 2**

A Cross-Tabulation and Chi-Square Test suggest a correlation between living distance from campus and student involvement in on-campus student organizations related to your major, where the closer one lives to campus, the more likely they are involved in on-campus student organizations related to their major. With a p-value of 0.069599 and 5.33 degrees of freedom, the result is significant at p<0.10.

**Hypothesis 2 (H2):** There will be a positive effect of living closer to campus in relation to on-campus student organization involvement directly related to your major.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>How close to BSU did you live last year?</th>
<th>Was this student organization related to your major?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hypothesis 2 (H2): There will be a positive effect of living closer to campus in relation to on-campus student organization involvement directly related to your major.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Were you involved in any BSU student organizations last year?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chi Square</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Chi-Square Test via Cross tabulation 3

A Cross-Tabulation and Chi-Square Test suggested a correlation between gender and student involvement in on-campus student organizations related to your major, where females are more likely to be involved in on-campus student organizations related to their major. With a p-value of 0.089131 and 2.89 degrees of freedom, the result is significant at p<0.10.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hypothesis 3 (H3): There will be a higher rate of participation by females over males in on-campus student organizations related to the student’s major.</th>
<th>Was this organization related to your major?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>What is your gender?</td>
<td>Chi Square</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chi Square</td>
<td>2.89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The results of the study are in line with the three formulated hypotheses. The results for the first cross-tabulation showed that students living in closer proximity to campus are more likely to be involved in on-campus student organizations which directly relates to the literature. Nelson et al. (2016) found that living on-campus invites interaction and engagement. Students that live on campus are more engaged and active within student clubs and organizations. The results from the second cross-tabulation echo results from the first.

The results from the third cross-tabulation showed that there is a relationship between gender and student involvement in on-campus student organizations related to the student’s major at the university we studied. Female students were more likely to be involved in student organizations related to their major than males. However, the literature review indicated there was not a relationship between student organizations and gender. This research showed that women are more likely to be involved in on-campus student organizations when the organization is related to their major.

Limitations

The list of current users may have been outdated and therefore may have not given us the most up-to-date student records. Another limitation would be that we only surveyed students that were currently active in the university’s data management system. There may have been other users that were not registered in the system at the time of data collection.

Future Research

We did not analyze whether leadership roles differed between men and women, however, this may be an area of further exploration in clubs and organizations in the Upper Midwest. Rosch et al., (2015) found no difference in the way students behave in leadership roles within student organizations.

Conclusion

Rust et al. (2015) mentioned that a student’s level of involvement in college is directly related to the level of engagement and motivation that a student will have for college experiences including on-campus activities like club and organization involvement.

Students in the Upper Midwestern college surveyed in this study found that students were more engaged in on-campus student organizations when they lived closer to campus. Female students were more likely to be involved in on-campus student organizations related to their major compared to men.
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